

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** 02/02/98 VERE HODGE R 08/945,249 P31158 **EXAMINER** 020462 HM22/1119 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION TRAVERS.R PAPER NUMBER 709 SWEDELAND ROAD P O BOX 1539 **ART UNIT** KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406-0939 16 1614 **DATE MAILED:** 11/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/945,249

Vere Hodge et al

Examiner

**RUSSELL TRAVERS** 

Group Art Unit 1614



| TH              | E PERI            | OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]   |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
|                 | a) 📋              | expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |
|                 | b) 🗌              | expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.  |
|                 | date on           | ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. |
| X               | Appella<br>period | ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on Oct 25, 1999 (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).   |
| Ap <sub>l</sub> | plicant<br>is NO  | s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 25, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect,<br>I deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  |
|                 | The pr            | oposed amendment(s):   |
|                 | [] wi             | ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.   |
|                 | ☐ wi              | Il not be entered because:   |
|                 |                   | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).  |
|                 |                   | they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).  |
|                 |                   | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.  |
|                 |                   | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |
|                 | NO.               | ΓΕ:  |
|                 |                   |  |
|                 | ☐ Ap              | plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  |
|                 | Newly<br>separa   | proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.   |
| X               | for all           | ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  w unrebutted arguments have been presented.  |
|                 |                   | ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by saminer in the final rejection.  |
| X               | For pu            | urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  |
|                 | Claim             | s allowed: none  |
|                 |                   | s objected to: none  |
|                 | Claim             | s rejected: <u>1, 3-14, and 16-20</u>  |
|                 | The p             | roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.  |
|                 | Note 1            | the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)  |
|                 | Other             | PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200  |